

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,767	10/611,767 07/01/2003		George A. Walrath	· 25323A	5712
22889	7590	05/25/2006		EXAM	INER
OWENS C 2790 COLU			TARAZANO, DON	ALD LAWRENCE	
GRANVILL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ			EA	
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/25/2004	c

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent un Reexamination	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination WALRATH, GEORGE A. Art Unit		
	10/611,767				
	Carol Chaney	1773			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					
Notice of Panel De	cision from Pre	e-Anneal Brief F	Review		



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	Review filed <u>4-12-2006</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	and a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond ☐ The request does not include reasons why a ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other: 	review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to rur the mail date of the last Office communication, if no l	n from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance white brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this containing from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	terferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been eithere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period chever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the dupon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .	claim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	een held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required b	
All participants:	
(1) Carol Chaney.	(3) <u>Larry Tarazano</u> . dLT
(2) Terrel Morris Just Marie	(4)